

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/954,954 10/21/97 SUMMERS Ν 2991/1 **EXAMINER** HM12/0523 DENNIS A BENNETT KEMMERER, E G D SEARLE & CO ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER CORPORATE PATENT LAW DEPARTMENT P 0 BOX 5110 1646 CHICAGO IL 60680-9889 DATE MAILED: 05/23/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. **08/954,954**

App___it(s

Summers et al.

Examiner

Elizabeth C. Kemm rer

Art Unit 1646



rejecti allowa	REPLY FILED 19 Apr 2000 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final ion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a)	The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ext app set	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate tension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the silling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🛛	A Notice of Appeal was filed on19 Apr 2000 Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. 🗆	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
(p)	they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(4)	issues for appeal; and/or they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
	NOTE.
4. 🗆	
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
5. 🗀	
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b)exhibit, or c)Xequest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: no new rationale was presented in Applicant's arguments regarding the 103 rejections. Thus, the rejections are maintained for reasons of record.
5. <u> </u>	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b)exhibit, or c)equest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: no new rationale was presented in Applicant's arguments regarding the 103 rejections. Thus, the rejections are
5. 🗀 6. 🛭	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) _ affidavit, b) _ exhibit, or c)
5.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b)exhibit, or c)
5.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
5.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b)exhibit, or c)
5.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
5.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
5.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)

ART UNIT 1646